## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

United States of America,

Plaintiff,

v. ORDER

Criminal No. 09-26 ADM/JSM Martez Lamont Williams, Civil No. 12-1714 ADM

Defendant.

Carol M. Kayser, Esq., United States Attorney's Office, Minneapolis, MN, on behalf of the Plaintiff.

Martez Lamont Williams, pro se.

This matter is before the undersigned United States District Judge for consideration of Martez Lamont Williams' ("Williams") Motion for an Extension of Time to Answer Government's Supplemental Response [Docket No. 97]. For the reasons below, Williams' motion is denied.

After a jury verdict of guilty, Williams was sentenced to a 247 month term of imprisonment on August 18, 2009. [Docket No. 63]. Williams was represented by counsel in a direct appeal of his conviction. On February 25, 2011, the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals affirmed Williams' conviction. <u>United States v. Williams</u>, 413 Fed. Appx. 938, 942 (8th Cir. 2011). On October 3, 2011, the United States Supreme Court denied Williams' petition for writ of certiorari. Williams v. United States, 132 S. Ct. 173 (2011).

Williams then challenged his conviction, moving to vacate his sentence pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255. Section 2255 Motion [Docket No. 81]. In January 2013, after the court granted him an extension to reply, Williams filed arguments in support of his four 2255 claims; he also

stated an additional 2255 claim. Pro Se Motion to Amend [Docket Nos. 92 & 93]. The Court

had already ordered and received the Government's Response [Docket No. 84] to Williams' first

2255 Motion. The Government also chose to respond to Williams' additional claim, on January

30, 2013. Gov't's Supplemental Resp. to § 2255 Mot. ("Supplemental Response") [Docket No.

94].

On February 15, 2013, the Court denied all five of Williams' claims and declined to issue

a certificate of appealability [Docket No. 95].

Williams now requests an extension of time to file a reply to the Government's

Supplemental Response. After a through review, the Court has already ruled on the merits of

Williams' 2255 Motion. Williams' current motion is rendered moot by the Court's denial of his

2255 Motion.

Based upon all the files, records, and proceedings herein, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED**:

Defendant Martez Lamont Williams' Pro Se Motion for an Extension fo Time to Answer

Government's Supplemental Response [Docket No. 97] is **DENIED**.

BY THE COURT:

s/Ann D. Montgomery

ANN D. MONTGOMERY

U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: March 18, 2013.

2